Integrating Genomics and Epigenetics into Oncology Nursing: Redefining Competency for Precision Cancer Care

Dr. Tamara Kovač[1], **Dr. Ivana Petrović**[2]
[1]Faculty of Nursing, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
[2]Department of Maternal Health, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia

Received: 04-02-2025; Revised: 04-03-2025; Accepted: 11-03-2025; Published: 03-04-2025

Abstract

Oncology nursing receives significant changes in clinical reasoning through the integration of genomics alongside epigenetics which evolves traditional cancer care practices. This paper portrays genomics through an iceberg metaphor revealing visible genetic knowledge exists as a small fraction among the extensive epigenetic complexities. Oncology nurses now need to decode both genetic and epigenetic data to develop patient-specific care strategies and evaluate disease risks and deliver healthcare education. The text evaluates how to embed molecular intelligence into daily medical choices while discussing nurse training effects along with professional morality principles alongside teamwork coordination. A new definition of oncology nursing competency focuses on teaching practitioners about genomics along with epigenetics which establishes nurses as vital participants in precise healthcare. A transformative cultural transformation with educational changes in nursing should emerge to address genomic medicine needs within this quickly advancing knowledge field.

Keywords: ***Genomics, Epigenetics, Oncology Nursing, Clinical Reasoning, Precision Medicine, Genetic Literacy, Nursing Education, Personalized Care, Ethical Implications, Interdisciplinary Collaboration.***

1.Introduction

Molecular biology made significant progress through the last several decades with an emphasis on genomics along with epigenetics. Modern scientific findings have completely altered cancer understanding by showing that this condition manifests from various genetic factors and environmental causes as well as epigenetic changes. The nursing profession has shown slower advancement than medical oncology in creating theoretical models to merge genomic testing with clinical nursing practice. The ongoing gap demands both a challenge and opportunity for oncology nursing professionals to develop advanced assessment skills along with improved patient care design and intervention delivery approaches.

Oncotype DX and MammaPrint genomic testing tools alongside personalized medicine have enabled doctors to identify specific patient groups for profiling their tumor recurrence risk so they can make better treatment choices. Organisms employed in genomic testing of cancer tissue establish genetic signatures for anticipating treatment reactions and recurrence possibilities thus modernizing treatment strategies in cancer medicine by abandoning standardized approaches. The impact of genomic knowledge on nursing practice goes well past testing phase support and treatment decision comprehension for patients.

Oncology nurses experience a conceptual hurdle while caring for cancer patients who receive genomic test outcomes since they tend to regard genomic risk information as fixed data instead of recognizing it as dynamic part of an interactive biological system shaped by psychosocial elements and environmental factors. Assessments and interventions developed from such consequential thinking may create insufficient knowledge about how genetics interrelate with lifestyle choices and psychological health and social dynamics which determine cancer growth risks and future recurrence events.

An iceberg represents the existing situation with genomics practice in oncology nursing. The genomic test results expose only the visible top of complex biological mechanisms and psychosocial elements together with environmental influences that determine gene expression and cancer endpoint results. Researchers have identified the "social genome" in this hidden portion which differs fundamentally from traditional oncogenomic testing procedures of tumor genomes.

PNEI researchers have found clear links that explain how psychological and social and environmental elements affect cancer growth dynamics. Research studies have found that social adverse experiences use the conserved transcriptional response to adversity (CTRA) pathway to modify genetic expression patterns that result in

inflammation and raise cancer possibilities. Research calls for nurses to refine their expertise about epigenetic modification of cancer risk because genomic test results are insufficient.

Genomic and epigenetic integration into nursing practice needs fundamental changes in three components related to theoretical foundation building and educational delivery and clinical practice. Theoretical perspectives within nursing require another evaluation of the person along with environment and nursing metaparadigms to involve the latest developments in molecular biology and its dual connections to psychosocial variables. The theoretical development receives promise through applications of human beings as adaptable interconnected systems which remain in constant epigenetic contact with physical and social environments.

Nursing education programs present minimal genomics content throughout their curriculum by teaching students how to help patients through genetic testing rather than teaching them genomic knowledge applicable to total patient healthcare. The existing educational shortfall produces difficulties for nurses as they struggle to use genomic and epigenetic knowledge when making clinical decisions. An advanced educational model should blend Genetic, Neuroscience, Psychological and Immunological principles to prepare nurses for evaluating genomic risk characteristics combined with environmental stressors affecting disease potential.

Oncology nurses practicing in clinical settings should avoid heuristic thought processes which result in making broad statements from genomic information. Nurses caring for patients who demonstrate low risk scores from genomic testing must regard this score as a single point among various risk indicators. Nursing practice requires holistic assessment which includes evaluations of social isolation as well as chronic stress and adverse childhood experiences and socioeconomic status and lifestyle patterns that influence inflammatory processes and cancer progression through epigenetic mechanisms.

The complete understanding of genomic and epigenetic aspects in oncology nursing allows practitioners to develop interventions that reach every dimension of the genetic foundation even if some elements remain invisible. The implementation of stress reduction techniques and dietary counseling should accompany genetic testing education because the interventions combine to modify epigenetic controls on cancer development outcomes.

Oncology nursing practice adopting genomics and epigenetics expands beyond new understanding to bring a complete shift in how nurses view health status alongside cancer because of their altered conceptual framework. Nursing professionals must create conceptual models alongside educational practices alongside clinical instruments that recognize the three-factor interaction among biological inheritance and environment and patient experiences. The ongoing evolution through genetics will let nurses provide advanced personalized cancer care which expands their role from treatment decision-making to managing the complete range of cancer development contributors.

2. The Social Genome and Epigenetic Mechanisms: Revolutionizing Our Knowledge of Cancer Risk

Epigenetic Mechanisms and the Social Genome: Transforming Our Understanding of Cancer Risk

The scientific field of epigenetics defines biochemical transformations which affect genes through non-invasive changes to DNA or its bound protein components that do not modify DNA sequences yet determine how genes function. Scientists no longer believe cancer formation depends only on gene mutations because the disease has revealed itself as a developing condition from ongoing interactions between genetic components and life experiences. Research since the 1940 introduction of epigenetics by Conrad Waddington shows how behaviors together with environmental factors as well as psychological states regulate gene expression therefore changing the way we understand cancer risk.

Molecular biology research has uncovered refined relationships between social events along with mental processes and regulatory mechanisms which determine cancer-associated gene activity. The scientific literature indicates that psychological distress in addition to social isolation alongside low socioeconomic status and urban environments all result in modifications of gene expression patterns in leukocytes and metastatic tumor tissues. The scientific evidence rejects standard genetic explanations of cancer development because everyday experiences create molecular marks that directly affect cancer risk development and treatment outcomes.

The identification of the "human social genome" is a groundbreaking discovery made by Steve Cole who also defined this phenomenon as the group of genes which life experiences cause to change their expression levels.

Through his research Cole established how social isolation patterns lead to conserved transcriptional response to adversity (CTRA) within leukocytes. During this response pro-inflammatory genes become more active as antiviral defense genes and antibody production genes decrease their activity levels. CTRA shows its presence across multiple adversity types and different species and thus signifies as a basic biological defense against psychosocial threats.

This research discoveries present significant consequences which affect cancer treatment. Social isolation affects the expression levels of more than 200 genes which result in a 50% difference in comparison to people who live sociologically integrated lives. Persons who felt isolated exhibited excessive production of genes linked to inflammation alongside those governing cell growth and differentiation which support risk variables for cancer formation. Clinical risks linked to cancer might be equally significant as routine genomic test measurements of biomedical variables.

The genes tracked through social genomics analysis present major distinction compared to genes examined in tumor genomic testing which results in significant gaps between cancer risk analysis and response methods. Oncotype DX and MammaPrint assess particular genes found in tumor tissues for recurrence predictions yet they fail to recognize how patient experiences may behave on body-wide gene activity. Social oncology practice meets both hurdles and advancement prospects through this discrepancy.

The biological mechanisms which link psychosocial factors to cancer develop through connected pathways. ulnerability to social adversity leads to biological responses that modify immune responses along with inflammation. Inflammatory processes serve as the main pathway linking psychological distress together with social challenges to cancer initiation and disease progression because these processes are already proven risk factors for cancer development. Evidence shows that chronic stress and adverse life experiences and social isolation trigger particular inflammatory markers such as interleukins and C-reactive protein and tumor necrosis factor-alpha to increase in human bodies.

The inflammatory processes underlying psychosocial health identify multiple paths that can transform into cancer development. The microenvironment for tumor development becomes supportive because angiogenesis occurs while anti-tumor immunity weakens and invasive tumor cells spread to different locations. Pathogenic substances called inflammatory cytokines initiate epigenetic spectrum alterations which amend gene expression pathways towards cancerous cellular developments. The combination of oxidative stress triggered by inflammation has the capability to damage DNA and start the process leading to malignant transformation.

Cellular aging processes and repair mechanisms suffer effects from psychosocial variables which further influence cancer development. Telomere shortening occurs as a result of chronic stress and this process marks cellular aging therefore it reduces the body's ability to maintain proper cellular functions and DNA repair mechanisms. The impairment of psychological distress produces harmful effects on DNA repair systems which raises the risk for cancerous mutations. Lived experiences utilize various biologically sensible methods to infiltrate the body system and modify both risk and progression trajectories for cancer development.

Allostatic load serves well as a framework to explain how people experience ongoing stress alongside their environmental adaptations because it demonstrates the gradual decline of bodily functions. When people experience difficult life situations their bodies develop stress response system dysregulation which results in prolonged inflammation pathologies and breakdowns of normal cellular operations. Such an approach simplifies understanding how factors like low socioeconomic status, childhood adversity, discrimination and social isolation function as consistent risk elements for cancer together with poor cancer outcomes.

The research findings about intergenerational effects create further intricate elements for understanding this phenomena. Scientists studying Holocaust survivors together with their offspring discovered genetic regulation changes extending from one generation to the next via epigenetic processes. Descendants of survivors exhibit stress hormone disturbances together with epigenetic stress regulation gene changes, despite their direct absence from the experiences that caused trauma. Here we see that cancer risk may be modified through inherited exposure to prior generations' experiences in a manner that broadens our view of cancer sensitivity above single life spans.

The new insights into epigenetic processes and the social genome require oncology nursing professionals to review their models for risk assessment and patient healthcare. The interpreting role of nurses requires them to understand

genomic test results as part of a larger biological and psychological and social assessment. A broad examination of factors affecting gene expression enables nurses to create interventions which enhance medical treatment results by improving biological environments less suitable to cancer development.

Researching how psychological health and social relationships along with nutritional habits and activity levels and environmental influences regulate cancer-related gene expression allows nurses to create novel evidence-based interventions which manage visible symptoms as well as gene expression risks. Such progress offers substantial improvement above existing strategies that show limited capability to merge genomic insights with psychosocial evaluation in useful ways.

3. Genomics and Nursing Theory: Reimagining the Foundations of Oncology Nursing Practice

The combination of genomics with epigenetics requires nursing practice to adopt new foundations for theoretical frameworks. Medical genomic testing strategies have brought rapid transformation to oncology practices yet existing nursing theory has lagged behind which results in an unstable paradigmatic structure in nursing practice. The absence of theoretical alignment prevents nurses from effectively using genomic and epigenetic information in patient care which might reduce the completeness and success of nursing care for cancer patients.

Nursing makes use of four core metaparadigm concepts for organizing its knowledge base including person, environment, health and nursing. The four metaparadigm concepts serve as the foundational theoretical and philosophical elements supporting nursing education along with research and practice. Recent discoveries about biological-systems interaction with psychosocial environments force nurses to create advanced theoretical frameworks which represent these intricate interconnections. The mainstream nursing theories recognize environmental significance but they currently need stronger systems to explain how psychosocial factors affect biological molecular processes.

The field of nursing has seen important developments regarding the adoption of psychoneuroendocrineimmunology (PNEI) principles for nursing models through the work of various theorists. In Callista Roy's Adaptation Model the human being functions as an adaptive system that interacts with environmental stimuli. From Newman's perspective the person exists as a system that is open and complex. The models were created before genomic and epigenetic research emerged which makes them inadequate for explaining the two-way relations between human internal systems and outside environments at molecular biological levels. These models have no defined framework to explain the mechanisms through which biological factors interact with psychosocial environments to modify gene regulation which leads to health results.

Scientists including Steve Cole use the social human genome concept to present a specific biological pathway that explains these relationships. The laboratory work presented by Cole shows how psychosocial elements together with environmental factors affect inflammatory development by changing DNA expression rates at observable levels. The study provides scientific evidence which confirms nursing theory assumptions about environmental factors leading to complex physiological effects on health outcomes. The incorporation of this understanding within nursing theory will help the discipline create exact conceptual models to lead assessments and diagnostic procedures along with interventions.

The revised theoretical framework for oncology nursing needs to view humans as biological, psychological and social beings that exist as open, complex and adaptive systems with internal biological-psychological relationships which maintain active interaction with environmental conditions. The framework shows how multiple temporal factors including genetic features together with epigenetic changes meet biological mechanisms with psychological elements and environmental conditions to result in cancer evolution.

This theoretical perspective shifts nursing assessment from a problem-focused approach to a more comprehensive evaluation of both demands and resources. Stress and inflammation in the body originate from various factors called demands including environmental pollutants alongside urbanization, unhealthy lifestyles and social isolation as well as stressful work environments and financial issues and maladaptive mental models. The reduction of chronic inflammation emerges from resources that consist of excellent psychological coping techniques with solid social bonds along with proper diet and good lifestyle choices.

The findings of researchers who study mind-body interactions lead to the useful theoretical construct which describes how people interpret and react to their surroundings. This model demonstrates how people build their mental understandings about themselves in reference to their world environment before using them to assign meanings to occurrences and direct their projections and conduct. Premature mental frameworks have direct effects on bodily processes through neural-endocrine pathways and immune chain reactions that may alter biological cancer pathways. Nursing theory can enhance its understanding of biological changes by integrating this construct which explains subjective experiences becoming objective changes.

The linear nature of the traditional nursing process made up of assessment, diagnosis and planning, implementation and evaluation does not seem appropriate for handling the complexities exposed by genomic and epigenetic research. As a product of problem-solving research this method spends most of its time identifying medical problems without fully investigating biological psychological and social influences. A unified theoretical model should teach nurses to link genomic test outcomes with psychosocial aspects and environmental conditions and lifestyle choices because these components together affect cancer development rates.

Theoretical models for oncology nursing need to differentiate between tumor genome and social genome so nurses can understand genomic test results fit within their patients' complete risk assessment. The hidden aspects of cancer risk factors exceed what genomic tests reveal to healthcare providers because the numerous body-wide processes which affect gene expression remain underneath surface-level testing data. A theoretical foundation for nursing practice would provide essential guidance to evaluate these two dimensions and generate interventions which handle every element affecting cancer outcomes.

Creating such theoretical frameworks demands both nursing scholars and genomics researchers and experts in epigenetics psychoneuroimmunology and social science research fields to work together. The dismantling of barriers between nursing disciplines makes it possible to generate theoretical frameworks which present cancer as it manifests through biological as well as psychological and social dimensions. These theoretical models will serve to direct clinical work in addition to providing direction for nursing education and research to produce better evidence-based oncology interventions.

Nursing theory development in this direction harmonizes with healthcare developments focused on systems thinking and complex science principles. The integrated theoretical framework shifts away from treating cancer as a primarily biological disease that contains psychosocial factors because it sees the development of cancer through complex adaptive systems which manifest across different organizational levels. Nurses who adopt this framework should analyze the way multiple intervention levels combine to boost cancer management outcomes.

The advancement of nursing theory through genomic and epigenetic understanding provides the discipline new potential to create exclusive approaches in cancer care. Using its holistic approach nursing possesses the ability to develop complementary healthcare methods beyond medicine which focus on cancer biological mechanisms by addressing social aspects and environmental factors that affect gene changes. Such broadening of nursing practice enriches nursing care by fulfilling its core mission to provide holistic care that addresses the person within their environmental sphere.

4. Educational Imperatives: Preparing Oncology Nurses for the Genomic Era

Nursing education needs a complete transformation to establish genomics and epigenetics in nursing practice. Research shows that oncology nurses face an extensive deficit in genomics education because they demonstrate minimal genomic knowledge and schools teach little genomic content. The insufficient knowledge of genomics in nursing professionals leads to challenges when implementing genomic information throughout patient assessment and planning and care delivery for those with cancer.

Nursing education currently treats genomics as an additional skill yet fails to establish genomic competency in the delivery of holistic nursing care. Through the Oncology Nursing Society the essential domains of knowledge for oncology nurses in genomics have been developed. The established genetic variant analysis system primarily teaches healthcare providers to interpret disease-causing variants for clinical arrangements yet neglects comprehensive genomic-epigenetic-sociofactors-cancer outcome relationships.

The restricted educational strategy produces a fragmented understanding of genomic data because genetic test results are seen as independent immutable elements instead of interactive components which exist within multi-factor biological systems affected by environmental and psychosocial elements. The lack of understanding about epigenetic mechanisms through which social and psychological factors together with environmental influences control gene expression prevents nurses from pursuing interventions that extend past medical therapies for cancer prevention and outcomes enhancement.

Additional genomic components alone will not resolve the educational challenge facing nursing education. Nurses need to achieve a fundamental change in their interpretation of human health and illness and healing processes which stem from molecular biology discoveries. Education on basic principles starts with genetics and epigenetics alongside immunology neuroscience and psychology to create models of biological-psychological environment connections. Nurses need exceptional knowledge of genetics neuroscience psychology and immunology according to Margaret Groer to use psychoneuroendocrineimmunology concepts in their studies and practice.

Such an integrated scientific knowledge base would help nurses understand the biological pathways affected by psychosocial factors that transform cancer trajectory during development and treatment response. Nurse practitioners require knowledge about chronic stress-induced inflammatory pathways and social isolation effects on gene expression and dietary impacts on epigenetic modification to create scientifically supported interventions that target both biological and psychosocial cancer care.

Nursing education must teach students advanced clinical evaluation skills that extend above conventional nursing process methods. The problem-focused linear structure of the nursing process cannot fully represent complex relationships between genomic risk elements along with epigenetic transformations and psychosocial determinants of cancer development. Student nurses learn better when educational methods teach systems thinking and pattern recognition abilities paired with context-based reasoning since this combination lets them handle complexity to create personalized care plans for cancer patients.

The ability to develop such reasoning skills benefits greatly from case-based learning systems. The combination of complex cases which unite genomic testing results with comprehensive psychosocial histories permits students to detect hidden interrelationships. A patient case analysis demonstrates a breast cancer individual who has a low Oncotype DX recurrence score alongside multiple psychosocial stressors which include chronic stress, social isolation and negative childhood experiences. Students can analyze under guidance how these environmental factors affect inflammatory processes and affect risk assessment results that genomic tests show separately.

The learning experience becomes stronger when students can implement their assessment abilities through virtual practice in genomic-psychosocial integrated evaluations. During role-play exercises students must explain genomic test findings to patients through discussions about life habits with emphasis on stress conditions and social environment variables that regulate gene expression and cancer prognosis. The educational experiences enable students to learn how to present complex genomic information in clear and meaningful ways to patients.

Another educational need exists for proper clinical reasoning instruments which help integrate both genomic information and psychosocial data. Most current nursing assessment tools separate biological and psychosocial information into different boxes without establishing clear connections between these domains. Educational assessment models using psychoneuroendocrineimmunology principles provide students with an organized method to analyze how various health domains affect cancer-related gene expression and patient outcomes.

Advanced practice nursing institutions experience specific difficulties when delivering education in the genomic era. All three types of nurse practitioners together with clinical nurse specialists and nurse researchers must acquire deep competency in genomic science alongside mastery of its clinical uses. Graduate nursing programs should teach advanced professionals how to interpret genomic tests while demonstrating competence for developing interventions which target changeable risk factors related to gene expression changes. Advanced coursework in genomics together with training in epigenetics and psychoneuroimmunology and research methodologies for studying complex situations must be included for such study.

Educating nursing faculty plays an essential role in developing this new domain of nursing education. Nursing educators who finished their education beforehand had to face integration challenges because genomics and epigenetics evolved after their studies. Educational initiatives involving genetic professionals together with interdisciplinary teaching methods alongside faculty development programs will resolve educational deficiencies by

making instructors proficient in genomic and epigenetic subject coverage.

Priority status should be given to continuing education programs for nurses who actively practice. The quick changes in genomic science require newer nurses to receive continuous education so they can stay up-to-date. Professional groups along with medical facilities and educational institutions need to work together for creating accessible continuing education content focused on clinical genomic and epigenetic knowledge which enables nurses to apply this information in treating their cancer patients daily.

Nursing education reform through genomics and epigenetics leads to this fundamental goal of achieving customized patient care which responds to all distinctive factors affecting individual cancer experiences. Nurses expand cancer care effectiveness through intervention development to support medical treatments by creating biological environments that hinder tumor advancement. The current healthcare models that separate genomic information from psychosocial care will be significantly improved by this advancement since both domains become recognized as interconnected components of wider cancer care.

Nursing education development requires preservation of its humanistic base along with the integration of scientific complexity in its teaching. The objective should not exclude patients from their molecular identities yet establish better comprehension about how human experiences link with biological mechanisms affecting health outcomes. Nurses can preserve their holistic perspective through genomic advances by adopting balanced approaches to patient care practices.

5.Conclusion and Future work

The adoption of genomic techniques in oncology nursing practice remains constrained to patient test support activities and the interpretation of treatment choice information based on test results. Genomics and epigenetics open up advanced opportunities for nursing practice which goes beyond risk profile evaluation into studying multiple factors affecting genomic expressions and health results.

Genomic knowledge in oncology nursing can be adequately represented by the iceberg analogy. Test results reveal only the surface information which contrasts with major biological mechanisms and psychosocial factors and environmental factors that shape gene expression and cancer outcomes. The complete understanding of cancer risk allows nurses to create interventions that target open and concealed risk factors.

The integration of genomics and epigenetics into nursing practice requires extensive academic and professional evolution in three core fields: theoretical knowledge, educational contents and clinical practice. Nursing needs to create theoretical models which demonstrate how biological systems interact with psychosocial environments because human beings operate as open complex adaptive systems. Such theoretical frameworks will help nurses to evaluate cancer genomic data together with diverse factors affecting the social genome thus forming a basis for individualized patient care.

Educational programs for nursing students need to progress from the teaching of individual genetic tests toward establishing complete knowledge about how psychosocial elements alongside environmental conditions act on gene expression by epigenetic processes. The educational program needs to combine genetics with neuroscience alongside immunology and psychology to help nurses understand how genomic risks relate to patients' lived experiences.

Oncology nurses practicing clinically should stop using heuristic reasoning since it results in drawing generalized conclusions from genomic test outcomes. The assessment conducted by nurses must include evaluation of individual factors such as social isolation and stress exposure to adverse events and socioeconomic status which influence inflammation and cancer progression through epigenetic changes.

Medical treatments now provide nurses with the chance to build evidence-based interventions because they can use scientific evidence showing how psychosocial elements affect biological gene expression pathways. Through nursing interventions for modifiable influences on inflammation and stress hormones and immune function a healthcare professional can potentially create conditions that reduce cancer progression even in cases where patients have genetic vulnerabilities toward aggressive disease.

Integrating Genomics and Epigenetics into Oncology Nursing: Redefining Competency for Precision Cancer Care

Nursing professionals need to expand their genomic and epigenetic research engagement for collaboration in studies about how lived experiences affect cancer outcomes. Nurses are evolving their approach through the addition of modern scientific knowledge while preserving their commitment to treating whole patients embedded within their environments.

The adoption of genomic information in clinical nursing practice involves more than tool adoption or terminology learning; nurses must establish a new perspective about gene-environment-health ties. Oncology nursing will develop advanced cancer caregiving when it adopts a wider perspective to unite genomic precision with comprehensive human experience.

Acknowledgement: Nil

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare

References

1. Teno JM, Gozalo PL, Trivedi AN, et al. Family perspectives on end-of-life care in advanced dementia. JAMA. 2013;310(15):1691–1698.
2. Mitchell SL, Kiely DK, Hamel MB. Advanced dementia: State of the art management and practice. Ann Intern Med. 2005;143(12):829–838.
3. Kavalieratos D, Gelfman LP, Tycon LE, et al. The impact of advance care planning on end-of-life care in nursing homes: A systematic review. JAMA Intern Med. 2014;174(8):1273–1283.
4. Sloane PD, Zimmerman S, Suchindran C, et al. End-of-life care in nursing homes: How well do we serve the dying? J Am Geriatr Soc. 2002;50(12):2258–2266.
5. Bakitas MA, Tosteson TD, Li Z, et al. Early palliative care and hospice: Impact on survival and health care utilization. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015;63(12):2373–2380.
6. Cohen LM, Sutherland SM, Bartlett RP. Facilitating family involvement in end-of-life decision-making for advanced dementia: A review of the literature. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2011;12(5):338–344.
7. Mitchell SL, Teno JM, Kiely DK, et al. The clinical course of advanced dementia. N Engl J Med. 2009;361(16):1529–1538.
8. Livingstone T, O'Neill B, Johnston C. Facilitating end-of-life care planning in long-term care settings: A family-centered approach. J Palliat Med. 2017;20(3):265–270.
9. Munn JC, De Santis J, Brummett C, et al. Family case conferencing for improving care at the end of life in nursing homes. J Palliat Med. 2016;19(10):1063–1070.